

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,206	10/03/2003	Keith Colacioppo	9049	2120	
27752	7590 06/13/2005	EXAM	EXAMINER		
	TER & GAMBLE CO	DOAN, RO	DOAN, ROBYN KIEU		
	'UAL PROPERTY DIVI ILL TECHNICAL CENT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
6110 CENTE	ER HILL AVENUE	3732			
CINCINNAT	П, ОН 45224	DATE MAILED: 06/13/200	DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/678,2	206	COLACIOPPO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit			
		Robyn D		3732			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence a	idress		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC Insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statustic to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or e	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no education. days, a reply within the statory period will apply and vill, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tin autory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					,		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>08 April 200</u> 5.					
·	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the appleau of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co		,			
Applicat	ion Papers				-		
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	•	~ · ·				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
3) 🛛 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/01/05</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	0-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's Amendment filed 04/08/05 has been entered and carefully considered. Claims 1-3 have been amended. Limitations of amended claims have not been found to be patentable over newly discovered prior art, therefore, claims 1-3 are rejected under the new ground rejections as set forth below.

Claim Objections

Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 3, line 9 "first times" should be changed to –first times--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Paglericcio et al (6047703).

With regard to claim 1, Paglericcio et al discloses a hair treatment applicator (fig. 1) comprising a handle (12) having a longitudinal axis, a plurality of retaining structures

Art Unit: 3732

98) connected to the handle, wherein each of the plurality of retaining structures being separated from each other by a separation volume (spaces between one retaining structure to another), wherein each of the plurality of retaining structures being substantially parallel to each other and being substantially perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the handle and wherein said plurality of retaining structures hold the hair treatment.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over De Laforcade (6453909).

With regard to claims 2-3, DeLaforcade discloses a hair treatment applicator (figs. 2a and 3) comprising a handle (2) having a longitudinal axis, a first head (22, fig. 3) being connected to the handle, the first head having a plurality of first tines (5) disposing on the first head and forming a first retaining volume (30), a second head (26, fig. 3) being separate from the first head and also being connected to the handle, the second head having a plurality of second tines (5) disposing on the second head and forming a second retaining volume (34), wherein the plurality of first and second tines

being substantially parallel to each other and being substantially perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the handle (fig. 2a), a separation volume (surface 20, fig. 3), wherein the first and second retaining volumes being separated by the separation volume and wherein the first and second retaining volumes hold the hair treatment. De Laforcade does not disclose the plurality of tines of the first and second heads being disposed in a substantially square pattern, however, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the plurality of tines of the first and second heads being disposed in a substantially square pattern, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of the component.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hoeffkes et al, Burke and Kaiser are cited to show the state of the art with respect to a hair treatment applicator.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/678,206

Art Unit: 3732

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robyn Doan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4711. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robyn Doan June 8, 2005

> John J. Wilson Primary Examiner

Page 5